

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/990,518	11/21/2001	Jeffrey Harold Yanof	PKR 2 0718	3075
7590 05/19/2005			EXAMINER	
Thomas E. Kocovsky, Jr.			ROY, BAISAKHI	
FAY, SHARPI	E, FAGAN, MINNICH &	MckEE, LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 Superior Avenue			3737	
Cleveland, OF	H 44114-2518		B. TT. M. W. TD. 05/10/200	_

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/990,518	YANOF ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Baisakhi Roy	3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	arch 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examine</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2002 is/are</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine</li> </ul>	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3737

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Objections

### **Double Patenting**

2. Applicant is advised that should claims 1-5 be found allowable, claims 6, 7, and 12 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

Application/Control Number: 09/990,518

Art Unit: 3737

directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wood et al. (2002/0070970).

With regards to claims 1-19, Wood et al. teach a diagnostic medical imaging system and method to generate 2D first and second image slices, data processor to combine said slices, storage device for loading the first and second image slices, and displaying said slices in various view ports such that the first image slices are displayed in a second view port, the second image slices are viewed in first view port, and a third view port containing a superimposed version containing relative locations of both first and second image slices as represented in the second and first view ports, respectively ([0043] [0046] [0055]). Wood et al. teach designating regions of interest by a reviewer ([0044] [0046-0047]). Wood et al. teach obtaining the images from a coronal, saggital, or multi-planar view ([0045]). The reference also teaches updating the display of the various view ports in response to changes made to one view port ([0046] [0088] [0091], claims 7-14). Wood et al. also teach detecting small objects or lesions on a particular slice, marking or projecting outlines of said objects, and highlighting or color coding to distinguish between objects ([0047] [0051-0055] [0060] [0065] [0095]).

3. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by He et al. (6275562). Applicant's attention is re-directed to the abstract in lines 5-9 and specification which clearly states that images can be combined to form a reduced

Art Unit: 3737

number of thicker slices and then if necessary, may form a plurality of thin slices (col. 4 lines 27-31, col. 5 lines 20-32), storage, and display of selective images and parameters (col. 5 lines 1-19 lines 58-67, col. 6 lines 1-18).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baisakhi Roy whose telephone number is 571-272-7139. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m. - 4p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B. R.

BR

CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700